

COURSE OUTLINE

PURPOSE AND GOALS

The purpose of this course is to explore ideas underlying land use policy and planning, primarily in the United States, both from a theoretical and applied perspective. Whereas other courses provide techniques for the practice of land use planning, this course tries to consider why and when land use interventions are appropriate. The course is intended primarily for graduate students in Urban and Regional Planning, but is also open to graduate students with appropriate background and interests from Landscape Architecture, Geography, Law, and relevant social sciences. The course assumes that all students have some basic knowledge of local land use planning process and tools.

The course objectives are to understand the:

1. Values and ideas that underlie the practice of land use planning. We will look at the range of philosophies, values, and interest groups in land use policy and planning in the U.S. Land use planning has various purposes, and each has its proponents. Public debates about land use planning typically echo the themes covered in this course.
2. Variety of approaches to land use policy and planning. We will explore a range of strategies, theories, administrative structures, regulatory and non-regulatory approaches, geographic scope, and jurisdictional scope of land use policy programs. Exposure to the wide array of possibilities should help you to be more creative in devising solutions to land use dilemmas. Later in the semester, we will consider varieties of ways to think about property rights and land tenure, with some international examples.
3. Applications of land use policies. Many of the readings will examine the results of land use policies and evaluate how well the policies accomplish their intended aims.

Land use policy is a broad field, and can be explored from a number of disciplinary perspectives. This course does not promise to be a comprehensive exploration of all aspects of land use policy. Rather, its purposes are to stimulate thought and provide you with additional intellectual tools to make you a more thoughtful and creative professional planner. It will also help you to understand the evolution and meaning of terms such as “urban sprawl” and “smart growth,” as well as conceptions of property rights.

ORGANIZATION AND APPROACH

The course is designed as a seminar. This means that we are all going to learn and discuss the material together. The instructor will not lecture. Students are expected to do most of the talking. The instructor's role is to provide some context for the topic and then to intervene when necessary, to prompt discussion, to referee, or to bring discussion back to the theme of the day.

The course consists primarily of readings and discussion. Expect to read an average of approximately 150 pages per week. You will be expected to complete all the assigned readings, turn in brief answers to weekly reading questions, and participate in discussions.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Student contributions consist of the following:

Weekly reading comments (30 percent of grade)

For each reading you will provide brief answers of *one or two sentences* to the following:

- What is the author's main point, in your opinion?
- What did you like and/or not like about the paper?

In addition, most weeks the instructor will distribute a few additional study questions. You should answer these *briefly* (a few sentences, at most).

For full credit, please post your weekly answers prior to 8:00 pm Sunday night.

Participation in class discussions (30 percent of grade)

The most important part of this course is your participation. You need to complete the assigned readings and come prepared to offer your opinions, praises, critiques, confusion, excitement, disinterest. To begin the discussion for each reading, the instructor will randomly call on one or two students to discuss their answers to the questions. This initial "ice-breaker" should then stimulate open discussion. Please don't agree with the first speaker's comments!

Current events (10 percent of grade)

For most class sessions, a student will be assigned to bring a current news item related to the topic. "Current" is defined as having occurred within the past year. Try to find news that provides an update regarding the policy under discussion or that presents a controversy or difficult issue with respect to the topic of the day. Please distribute the link to students by the day before class.

Integrative essays (30 percent of grade)

At logical intervals during the semester, the instructor will distribute a question or theme that will help you to integrate the topics of the previous few weeks. You will have approximately one week to write a brief essay of about two to four pages.

READINGS

Where possible, readings are available directly from the internet or UIUC library, via the url hyperlink in the syllabus. Readings without a url link will be available via hyperlinks on Illinois Compass, in pdf format.

2016 Schedule, UP 546

	Monday	Wednesday	
1-18	Land Use and Legal Values: Property rights	Land use and American social values	<i>Assign current events</i>
1-25	Land Use and Legal Values: Property rights	Land use and economic values; Tax Policies and Land Use Policies	
2-1	TBD	Environmental values	
2-8	Local growth, politics, and planning	Land Use, Race, and Ethnicity	
2-15	Federal lands and federal power	The “Quiet Revolution”, 1970s	<i>Essay 1 values</i>
2-22	Urban Sprawl	Urban Sprawl, contd.	
2-29	State planning and local growth management	State growth management in the 1990s	
3-7	“Smart Growth”, post 2000	State Growth Management Updates—Maryland, Florida	
3-14	Updates, contd.	Regional Planning in the 21 st Century	
3-21	---Spring Break---	---Spring Break---	
3-28	Ecosystem and Watershed Planning	Land Preservation Techniques	<i>Essay 2 history</i>
4-4	----no class-----	-----no class-----	
4-11	Planning in California Guest: William Fulton	NIMBY and LULUs <i>or</i> Property Rights Movement, Agenda 21 backlash	
4-18	Shrinking Cities	Alternative Property Rights Systems Indigenous People	
4-25	Alternative Property Rights Systems Indigenous People	Comparative Zoning Systems—Europe vs. US Changing Values?	<i>Essay 3</i>
5-2	Land Use Planning and Climate Change	Wrap up	
TBD May 6			

Exam time: 8:00-11:00 am, Friday, May 6

READING ASSIGNMENTS

PART I: VALUES

Land Use and American Social Values

Berg, Nate. 2011. Burning Man and the Metropolis. *The Design Observer Group*, January 10, 2011.

<http://places.designobserver.com/feature/burning-man-and-the-metropolis/23848/>

Lloyd, Carol. 2004. Burning Man Gets Zoned. *SFGate*, June 15, 2004.

http://articles.sfgate.com/2004-06-15/entertainment/17428603_1_urban-research-association-black-rock-city-urban-planning

The Citadel, website. <http://www.iiicitadel.com/index.html> and <http://www.iiicitadel.com/about.html>

deNeufville, J.I. 1981. Land Use, A Tool for Social Policies. PP. 31-47 in J.I. deNeufville, ed., *The Land Use Policy Debate in the United States*. Plenum Press, New York and London.

Jackson, Kenneth T. 1985. "Home Sweet Home," Chapter 3 (pp. 45-72) in *Crabgrass Frontier*, Oxford University Press.

Land Use and Legal Values: Property Rights

Locke, John. 1996 (originally 1698). Of Property. Pages 76-86 in Mathew A. Dahn and Rory O'Brien, eds., *Thinking About the Environment*, M.E. Sharpe, Armonk, NY.

Freyfogle, Eric. 2007. *On Private Property: Finding Common Ground on the Ownership of Land*, Beacon Press, Boston.

Introduction (pp. vii-xxi)

Chapter 1 – Correcting the Half-Truths (pp. 1-28)

Chapter 4 – Property's Functions and the Right to Develop (pp. 84-104).

Land Use and Economic Values; Tax Policies and Land Use Policies

Hagman, Donald, and Misczynski, Dean. 1978. *Windfalls for Wipeouts: Land Value Capture and Compensation*.

Chicago: APA Planners Press. (LAW HJ4175.W55X)

Part I -- General Concepts (pp. 5-27)

Dye, Richard F., and Richard W. England. 2010. *Assessing the Theory and Practice of Land Value Taxation*. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, Cambridge MA, 32 pp. download at:

https://www.lincolninst.edu/pubs/dl/1760_983_Assessing%20the%20Theory%20and%20Practice%20of%20Land%20Value%20Taxation.pdf

Ladd, Helen F., with Ben Chinitz and Dick Netzer . 1998. *Local Government Tax and Land Use Policies in the United States*. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.

Chapter 5—Helen Ladd, Tax Policies to Promote Economic Development, pp. 116-130

Kim, Jae Hong. 2011 Linking Land Use Planning and Regulation to Economic Development: A Literature Review.

Journal of Planning Literature 26(1): 35-47.

<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/26/1/35.full.pdf+html>

Land Use and Environmental Values

Nash, R., ed. 1976. *The American Environment: Readings in the History of Conservation*, Second Edition.

Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. (ALX 333.72.N17a.1976)

pp. 13-18 (Marsh)

pp. 58-64 (Pinchot)

pp. 79-93 (Bates, Hays, Nash)

Leopold, Aldo. 1949. *A Sand County Almanac*. New York: Oxford University Press. "The Land Ethic", pp. 201-225

Local Growth, Politics, and Planning

Logan, John R., and Harvey L. Molotch. 1987. *Urban Fortunes: The Political Economy of Place*. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Chapter 3 -- The City as a Growth Machine, pp. 50-98

Chapter 5 -- How Government Matters (excerpt) pp. 179-199.

Land Use, Race, and Ethnicity

Briggs, Xavier de Souza. 2005. "More *Pluribus*, Less *Unum*? The Changing Geography of Race and Opportunity." Chapter 2 (pp. 17-41) in Briggs, S. ed., *The Geography of Opportunity: Race and Housing Choice in Metropolitan America*. Brookings Institution, Washington, D.C.

Aiken, Charles S. 1987. "Race as a Factor in Municipal Underbunding," *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 77(4): 564-579.

<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=3&sid=a0f19903-6c00-409a-9e8d-8917893a29b3%40sessionmgr198&hid=108>

Pendall, Rolf; Arthur C. Nelson, Casey J. Dawkins, and Gerrit J. Knaap. 2005. "Connecting Smart Growth, Housing Affordability, and Racial Equity." Chapter 10 (pp. 219-246) in Briggs, S. ed., *The Geography of Opportunity: Race and Housing Choice in Metropolitan America*. Brookings Institution, Washington, D.C.

Harwood, Stacy. 2005. "Struggling to Embrace Difference in Land-Use Decision Making in Multicultural Communities," *Planning Practice and Research* 20(4):355-371.

<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=3&sid=6050239c-664e-414e-b7da-3b6185627fb%40sessionmgr114&hid=108>

PART II: 40 YEARS OF AMERICAN LAND POLICY

Federal Lands and Federal Power

Platt, Rutherford H. 1996. *Land Use and Society*. Island Press, Washington, D.C.

Chapter 11 -- The Federal Lands: Policies in Conflict, pp. 369-392

Chapter 12 -- Congress and Land Use, pp. 393-418, 433-445

Layzer, Judith A. 2002. *The Environmental Case: Translating Values into Policy*. CQ Press, Washington, D.C.

Chapter 2 -- The Nation Tackles Pollution, pp. 25-51.

The "Quiet Revolution", 1970s

Bosselman, F., and Callies, D. 1971. *The Quiet Revolution in Land Use Control: Summary Report*. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality. 34 pp.

Nolon, John R. 1996. National Land Use Planning: Revisiting Senator Jackson's 1970 Policy Act. *Land Use Law and Zoning Digest*, 48(5): 3-7.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00947598.1996.10395887>

Healy, R.G., and Rosenberg, J.H. 1979. *Land Use and the States*, Second Edition. Resources for the Future, Inc., by Johns Hopkins University Press. 284 pp. (STX, CPX 333.7H34L1979)

Chapter 7 -- Issues in Implementing State Land Use Controls, pp. 211-247

Urban Sprawl

Defining Sprawl

Whyte, W.H., Jr. 1958. Urban Sprawl. PP. 133-156 in *The Exploding Metropolis*, The Editors of Fortune. Doubleday & Co., Garden City, NY.

Burchell, Robert W., et al. 1998. *The Costs of Sprawl -- Revisited*. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council. National Academy Press.
Chapter 1 -- Defining Sprawl (pp. 5-8)

Sprawl and Planning

Gordon, Peter, and Harry Richardson. 1997. Are Compact Cities a Desirable Planning Goal? *Journal of the American Planning Association* 63(1): 95-106.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944369708975727>

Ewing, Reid. 1997. Is Los Angeles-Style Sprawl Desirable? *Journal of the American Planning Association* 63(1):107-126.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944369708975728>

Handy, Susan. 2005. "Smart Growth and the Transportation-Land Use Connection: What Does the Research Tell Us?" *International Regional Science Review* 28, 2: 146-167.
<http://irx.sagepub.com/content/28/2/146.full.pdf+html>

State planning and local growth management

Mid 70s and 1980s—Regional and Local Growth Management

Popper, F.J. 1981. *The Politics of Land-Use Reform*. The University of Wisconsin Press. (STX 346.73045.P817p)
Chapter 7 -- The Public Implementation Struggle, pp. 116-153.

Kelly, Eric D. 1993. *Managing Community Growth: Policies, Techniques, and Impacts*. Praeger, Westport, Conn. (CPX 307.14160973K295M)
Chapter 4 -- Types of Contemporary Growth Management Regulations, pp. 43-71.
Chapter 11 -- Is Growth Management a Good Idea?, pp. 207-224.

State Growth Management in the 1990s

Gale, Dennis E. 1992. "Eight State-Sponsored Growth Management Programs, A Comparative Analysis." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 58(4):425-439.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944369208975827>

Innes, Judith E. 1992. "Group Processes and the Social Construction of Growth Management." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 58(4):440-453.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944369208975828>

"Smart Growth", post 2000

Knaap, Gerrit-Jan, and John W. Frece. 2007. "Smart Growth in Maryland: Looking Forward and Looking Back," *Idaho Law Review* 43:445-473.
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Print?collection=journals&handle=hein.journals/idlr43&id=451&print=section§ion=19&ext=.pdf>

Website of the Smart Growth Network, <http://www.smartgrowth.org/about/default.asp>
Especially take note of the list of "Smart growth principles" (click on "Why Smart Growth?")

American Planning Association. 2002. *Growing Smart Legislative Guidebook: Model Statutes for Planning and the Management of Change, 2002 Edition*.

Preface, pp. xxv-xxxii.

download at <http://www.planning.org/growingsmart/guidebook/print/pdf/Introductory.pdf>

State Growth Management Updates—Maryland, Florida

Lewis, Rebecca; Knaap, Gerrit-Jan; and Sohn, Jungyul. 2009. Managing Growth With Priority Funding Areas: A Good Idea Whose Time Has Yet to Come. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 75(4): 457-476.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360903192560>

Lewis, Rebecca, and Knaap, Gerrit-Jan. 2012. Targeting Spending for Land Conservation. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 78(1):34-52.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363.2011.645275>

Ben-Zadok, Efraim. 2005. Consistency, Concurrency and Compact Development: Three Faces of Growth Management Implementation in Florida. *Urban Studies* 42(12): 2167-2190.
<http://usj.sagepub.com/content/42/12/2167.full.pdf+html>

Pittman, Craig. 2011. Florida Meltdown: Hard times in a state that pioneered growth management. With sidebars by James Sellen and Max Forgey. *Planning* . October, 2011.
<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=4&sid=958e1c00-17e0-409b-bb4f-96a642bd40ed%40sessionmgr4001&hid=4201>

Ingram, Gregory, and Yu-Hung Hong. 2009. Evaluating Smart Growth: State and Local Policy Outcomes. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, Cambridge MA. 43 pp. download at:
https://www.lincolninst.edu/pubs/dl/1572_860_Smart_Growth_Final_PFR.pdf

Regional planning in the 21st century

Seltzer, Ethan, and Armando Carbonell, Editors. 2011. *Regional Planning in America: Practice and Prospect*. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, Cambridge MA.

Chapter 3 – Foster, Kathryn A., A Region of One’s Own (pp. 53-80).

Chapter 7 – Knaap, Gerrit-Jan and Rebecca Lewis, Regional Planning for Sustainability and Hegemony of Metropolitan Regionalism (pp. 176-221).

Dewar, Margaret, and David Epstein. 2007. Planning for “Megaregions” in the United States. *Journal of Planning Literature* 22(2): 108-124.
<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/22/2/108.full.pdf+html>

Innes, Judith E., David E. Booher, and Sarah Di Vittorio. 2011. Strategies for Megaregion Governance: Collaborative Dialogue, Networks, and Self-Organization. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 77(1):55-65.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363.2011.533640>

Review

Chapin, Timothy S. 2012. Introduction: From Growth Controls, to Comprehensive Planning, to Smart Growth: Planning’s Emerging Fourth Wave. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 78(1):5-15.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363.2011.645273>

PART III – SELECTED TOPICS

Ecosystem and Watershed Planning

Vos, Jaap. 2004. "The Everglades: Where Will All the Water Go?" Chapter 6 (Pages 97-114) in Lapping, Mark B., and Owen J. Furuseh, eds. *Big Places, Big Plans*. Ashgate.

Harris, Glen R., and Michael G. Jarvis. 2004. "The History of Planning in the Adirondack Park: The Enduring Conflict," Chapter 8 (Pages 139-178) in Lapping, Mark B., and Owen J. Furuseh, eds. *Big Places, Big Plans*. Ashgate.

Margerum, Richard D., and Debra Whitall. 2004. "The Challenges and Implications of Collaborative Management on a River Basin Scale," *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 47(3):407-427.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/0964056042000216537>

Mason, Robert J. 2011. Ecoregional Planning: Retreat or Reinvention? *Journal of Planning Literature* 26(4):405-419.
<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/26/4/405.full.pdf+html>

Land Preservation Techniques

Daniels, Tom, and Mark Lapping. 2005. "Land Preservation: An Essential Ingredient in Smart Growth," *Journal of Planning Literature* 19(3):316-329.
<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/19/3/316.full.pdf+html>

McConnell, Virginia, Elizabeth Kopits, and Margaret Walls. 2006. "Using Markets for Land Preservation: Results of a TDR Program," *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 49(5):631-651.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/09640560600849913>

Pidot, Jeff. 2005. "Reinventing Conservation Easements," *Land Lines* 17(2):5-8.
<http://www.lincolnst.edu/pubs/PubDetail.aspx?pubid=1010&URL=Reinventing-Conservation-Easements&Page=2>

Johnston, Robert A., and Mary E. Madison. 1997. "From Landmarks to Landscapes: A Review of Current Practices in the Transfer of Development Rights," *Journal of the American Planning Association* 63(3): 365-378.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944369708975929>

Healy, Jack. 2013. "On Western Lands, a Free-Market Path," *New York Times*, March 15, 2013.
http://www.nytimes.com/2013/03/16/us/conservationists-seek-to-buy-rights-to-public-lands.html?_r=0

Planning in California, current issues

Fulton, William, and Paul Shigley. 2012. *Guide to California Planning*. Solano Press Books, Point Arena, CA.
Chapter 1 – Introduction: How Planning in California Really Works, pp. 3-28
Chapter 24 – Making Planning Work in California, pp. 269-76.

Property Rights Movement

Layzer, Judith A. 2002. *The Environmental Case: Translating Values into Policy*. CQ Press, Washington, D.C.
Chapter 10 – Backlash: Wise Use, Property Rights..., pp. 238-263.

Bassett, Ellen M. 2009. Framing the Oregon Land Use Debate: An Exploration of Oregon Voters' Pamphlets, 1970-2007. *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 29(2):157-172
<http://jpe.sagepub.com/content/29/2/157.full.pdf+html>

Jacobs, Harvey M., and Kurt Paulsen. 2009. Property Rights The Neglected Theme of 20th-Century American Planning. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 75(2): 134-143.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360802619721>

Agenda 21backlash

Agenda 21, UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Division for Sustainable Development.

Read the Preamble (one page), and skim whatever else you want to look at.

<http://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/documents/agenda21/english/Agenda21.pdf>

Agenda 21 for Dummies (9:30 video)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TzEEgtOFFIM>

(If you want, you can read a response to this video at

<http://www.planning.org/practicingplanner/2012/fall/researchfeature01.htm>)

NIMBY and LULUs

Schively, Carissa. 2007. Understanding the NIMBY and LULU Phenomena: Reassessing Our Knowledge Base and Informing Future Research. *Journal of Planning Literature* 21(3): 255-266.

<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/21/3/255.full.pdf+html>

Inhaber, Herbert. 1992. "Of LULUs, NIMBYs, and NIMTOOs." *The Public Interest*. 107, Spring 1992, 52-64.

<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=3&sid=90498209-228c-4c37-97f7-7e13c46569ae%40sessionmgr4004&hid=4201>

Shrinking Cities (Land Banking, Foreclosures)

The Problem

Hollander, Justin B., Karina Pallagst, Terry Schwarz, and Frank B. Popper. 2009. Planning shrinking cities. Pages 223-232 in Blanco, Hilda and Martina Alberti, Shaken, shrinking, hot, impoverished and informal: Emerging research agendas in planning. *Progress in Planning* 72:195-250.

http://ac.els-cdn.com/S0305900609000695/1-s2.0-S0305900609000695-main.pdf?_tid=b0c6adc6-7af5-11e3-802e-00000aab0f01&acdnat=1389468320_8e98b0a46cee321b4b0ff337e99f44a6

Hollander, Justin B. 2011. Can a City Successfully Shrink? Evidence from Survey Data on Neighborhood Quality. *Urban Affairs Review* 47(1): 129-141.

<http://uar.sagepub.com/content/47/1/129.full.pdf+html>

Immergluck, Dan. 2009. The Foreclosure Crisis, Foreclosed Properties, and Federal Policy: Some Implications for Housing and Community Development Planning. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 75(4): 406-423.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360903124316>

Possible Solutions

Schilling, Joseph, and Jonathan Logan. 2008. Greening the Rust Belt: A Green Infrastructure Model for Right Sizing America's Shrinking Cities. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 74(4): 451-466.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360802354956>

Alexander, Frank S. 2005. Land Bank Strategies for Renewing Urban Land. *Journal of Affordable Housing and Community Development*. 14 (4):140-169.

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Print?collection=journals&handle=hein.journals/jrlaff14&id=148&print=section§ion=24&ext=.pdf>

Dewar, Margaret. 2006. Selling Tax-Reverted Land: Lessons from Cleveland and Detroit. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 72(2): 167-180.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360608976737>

Alternative Property Rights Systems

Ostrom, Elinor. 2009. Design principles of robust property rights institutions: What have we learned?, pp. 25-51(Chapter 2) in Ingram, Gregory K., and Yu-Hung Hong, eds. *Property Rights and Land Policies*. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Turk, Sevkiye S. 2008. An Examination for Efficient Applicability of the Land Readjustment Method at the International Context. *Journal of Planning Literature* 22(3): 229-242.
<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/22/3/229.full.pdf+html>

Indigenous People

Hibbard, Michael , Marcus B. Lane, and Kathleen Rasmussen. 2008. The Split Personality of Planning: Indigenous Peoples and Planning for Land and Resource Management. *Journal of Planning Literature* 23(2): 136-151.
<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/23/2/136.full.pdf+html>

Walker, Ryan, Ted Jojola, and David Natcher, eds. 2013. *Reclaiming Indigenous Planning*, McGill-Queen's University Press.
Chapter 8 – Aboriginality and Planning in Canada's Large Prairie Cities, by Ryan Walker and Yale Belanger, pp. 193-216
Chapter 12 – Coexistence in Cities: The Challenge of Indigenous Urban Planning in the 21st Century, by Libby Porter, pp. 283-312.
Chapter 20 – Indigenous Planning: Towards a Seven Generations Model, by Ted Jojola, pp. 457-472.

Comparative Zoning Systems

Hirt, Sonia. 2012. Mixed Use by Default: How the Europeans (Don't) Zone. *Journal of Planning Literature* 27(4):375-393.
<http://jpl.sagepub.com/content/27/4/375.full.pdf+html>

Changing Values?

Lewis, Paul G., and Mark Baldassare. 2010. The Complexity of Public Attitudes Toward Compact Development. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 76(2): 219-237.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944361003646471>

Handy, Susan, James F. Sallis, Deanne Weber, Ed Maibach, and Marla Hollander. 2008. Is Support for Traditionally Designed Communities Growing? Evidence From Two National Surveys. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 74(2):209-221.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360802010418>

Daniels, Thomas L. 2009. A Trail Across Time: American Environmental Planning From City Beautiful to Sustainability. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 75(2): 178-192.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360902748206>

Land Use Planning and Climate Change

Panel on Adapting to the Impacts of Climate Change. 2010. *Summary: Adapting to the Impacts of Climate Change*. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C. 15 pp. http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12783

Wheeler, Stephen M., John Randolph, and James B. London. 2009. Planning and climate change: an emerging research agenda. Pages 210-222 in Blanco, Hilda and Martina Alberti, Shaken, shrinking, hot, impoverished

and informal: Emerging research agendas in planning. *Progress in Planning* 72:195-250.
http://ac.els-cdn.com/S0305900609000695/1-s2.0-S0305900609000695-main.pdf?_tid=b0c6adc6-7af5-11e3-802e-00000aab0f01&acdnat=1389468320_8e98b0a46cee321b4b0ff337e99f44a6

Bedsworth, Louise W., and Ellen Hanak. 2010. Adaptation to Climate Change: A Review of Challenges and Tradeoffs in Six Areas. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 76(4):477-495.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363.2010.502047>

Wheeler, Stephen M. 2008. State and Municipal Climate Change Plans: The First Generation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*. 74(4): 481-490.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944360802377973>

Barbour, Elisa, and Elizabeth Deakin. 2012. Smart Growth Planning for Climate Protection. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 78(1):70-86.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363.2011.645272>

Bassett, Ellen, and Vivek Shandas. 2010. Innovation and Climate Action Planning: Perspectives From Municipal Plans. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 76(4): 435-445.
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01944363.2010.509703>